IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE

ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.VENKATESWARA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 20498 of 2005

-

-

-

Dated: 5th October 2005.

-

Between:

M.J.M.Reddy

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Station House Officer, Dornipadu Police Station, Kurnool District and others

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.VENKATESWARA REDDY

-

-

W.P.NO.20498 OF 2005

-

-

ORAL ORDER:

(Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice J.Chelameswar)

This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a telegram dated 12th September 2005 sent by one M.J.M.Reddy, styling himself as a member of Fact Finding Committee. The content of the telegram is that one K.Nageswara Rao of Bhagyanagar Village, Dornipadu Mandal, Kurnool District, unable to bear the harassment of his brothers, committed suicide; with a further allegation that the respondents are not investigating the matter as they were bribed.

The learned Government Pleader for Home took notice and filed counter of the Sub Inspector of Police, Dornipadu Police Station, Kurnool District.

The fact that the abovementioned K.Nageswara Rao committed suicide in a lodge in Giddaluru of Prakasam District on 10th September 2005 is not in dispute. It is stated in the counter affidavit that Giddaluru police registered a case in Crime No.119 of 2005 for the offence punishable under Section 174 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in view of the unnatural death of the abovementioned K.Nageswara Rao. It is further stated in the counter affidavit that the deponent of the affidavit on receipt of the notice in the present writ petition enquired into the matter and found that the deceased K.Nageswara Rao allegedly left a suicide note, stating that he was disgusted with life and that nobody should be held responsible for his suicide. It is also further stated in the counter affidavit regarding the allegation that the said K.Nagreswara Rao was harassed by his brothers driving him to commit suicide is baseless.

In the circumstances, we do not see any reason to proceed further with the writ

petition.

The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed at the stage of admission.

J.Chelameswar, J

M.Venkateswara Reddy, J

5th October 2005

mrk